BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 200/2014

(M.A. No. 205 of 2016, M.A. No. 206 of 2016, M.A. No. 224 of 2016, M.A. No.585 of 2016, M.A. No.815 of 2016 & M.A. No.1199 of 2016)

(C.W.P. No. 3727/1985)

And

Original Application No. 501 of 2014

(M.A. No. 404 of 2015)

And

Original Application No. 146 of 2015

And

Appeal No. 63 of 2015

IN THE MATTER OF: -

M.C. Mehta Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Anil Kumar Singhal Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Society for Protection of Environment & Biodiversity & Anr.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

And

Confederation of Delhi Industries & CETP Societies
(An Organisation of CETP Societies)

Vs.

D.P.C.C. & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. AJAY A DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present Applicant:

Ms. Katyani, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Choudhary and Ms. Meera Gopal, Advs.

Mr. Sanjay Upadhyay, Mr. Salik Shafique & Ms. Divya Sharma, Advs.

Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs. for UPPCB

Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv. for CGWA

Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kumar Sinha, Advs. for UPCB

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv., State of Haryana

Mr. I.K. Kapila, Adv. for UP Jal Nigam & UK Pey Jal Nigam

Ms. Priyanka Sinha, Adv. for State of Jharkhand

Mr. Atul Batra, Advs &^Mr. Kundan Mishra. for Mother Dairy, Pilakhuwa Unit

Mr. S.A. Zaidi and Mansi Chahal, Advs. for Leather Industries.

Mr. Rashid Saeed, Adv. for CETP, Banthar & Unnao

Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv for Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change

Ms. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv. For State of Uttarakhand

Mr. V.K. Shukla, Adv. for State of MP

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv. for JSPCB

Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO, Delhi Pollution Control Committee

Ms. Pushpila Bisht adn Mr. Suraj Prakash Singh,

Advs. For AIDA

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv.

Mr. B. V. Nirern, Adv.

Mr. Amit agarwal, and Ms. Asha Basu, Advs. for State of west Bengal

Mr. Gautam Singh and Mr. Rudreshwar Singh, Advs.

Mr. Ishwer Singh, Adv.

Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Mr. Vivek Singh and Mr. Vinayak Gupta, Advs.

Ms. Neelam Rathore, Adv. for Association of Textile **Processor**

Mr. Narender Pal Singh, Adv. and Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO

Ms. Yogmaya Agnihotri, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv for State of UP

Mr. Ravi P. Mehrotra and Mr. Abhinav Kr. Malik, Advs. for UPSIDC

Mr. Motish Kumar Singh and Mr. Saurabh Sachdeva,

Advs. for IFFCO (Noticee No. 653)

Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv. and Mr. Moni Cinmoy, Adv. for **DSIIDC**

Present: Applicant:

Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs. Respondent No.3: Respondent No.8: Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kumar Sinha,

Advs. for UPCB

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv for State of UP

Mr. B. V. Nirern, Adv.

Present: Applicant:

Respondent No.2: Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs.

Respondent No. 4: Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv.

Respondent No.8: Mr. Mukesh Verma and Mr. Bikash Kumar Sinha,

Advs. for UPCB

Respondent Nos. 11 and 12:Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv. SPENBIO

Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. & Mr. Bhupendra Kumar, CPCB

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv Mr. B. V. Nirern, Adv.

Applicant: **Present:**

Mr. Narender Pal Singh, Adv. and Mr. Dinesh Jindal,

LO

Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv. Respondent:

Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv. and Mr. Moni Cinmoy, Adv. for

DSIIDC

Item Nos. 18 to 21 January 25, 2017 By different Orders passed by the Tribunal, we had directed all the stakeholders to put forward their submissions/suggestions in regard to the 30 drains which join river Ganga and which cause all major pollution in Segment-B of Phase-I, but we regretfully note that the assistance provided to the Tribunal in that behalf is far from what is desired. We, therefore, direct that during the intervening period, CPCB, UPPCB, UP Jal Nigam, the Secretary to Government responsible for all the local authorities falling in Segment-B of Phase-I in the State of UP, Senior representative from Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India and the Additional Secretary, Ministry of Water Resources responsible for Namami Gange shall hold meeting in the meanwhile and

a) Out of the total 86 drains which are meeting river Ganga or its main tributaries like Ram Ganga, Kali East and Pandu which are the drains that require interception and their effluent to be pumped to another drain for being treated through CETP/STP.

answer the following:

- b) How many STP's/CETP's are required to be constructed on these drains.
- c) How many STP's are in existence in Segment-B of Phase-I and whether they are capable of treating the sewage/industrial and other effluent within the prescribed limits or not. If not, what upgradation they require, if upgradation would not be an appropriate solution then, would it be possible to

direct construction of a new STP/CETP.

Item Nos. 18 to 21 January 25, 2017

sn

We make it clear that these stakeholders would keep in mind that need of end-of-pipe treatment is the proper remedy for dealing with high pollutants which are being put into river Ganga and its tributaries. Furthermore, whether the treated water/ re-cycled could be used for industrial, agricultural and such other allied purposes. Needless to notice that the release of the effluent or treated water from CETP/STP has to be as per prescribed norms.

According to the CPCB, the values for release of treated water from STP's of BOD is 30 mg/l and Faecal Coliform is less than 230 MPN, however, now the preferred standard of BOD is 10 mg/l which is proposed in the draft Notification.

We are providing this time to these stakeholders to make their submissions and if they come up *ad idem* on certain issues it may help the Tribunal in resolving the Environmental issue involved in the case expeditiously. We will hear all the stakeholders on that date, the senior responsible officers shall be present before the Tribunal.

It is also informed to us that Chhoiya drain is being highly polluted because of industrial discharge from petrochemical industries and distilleries.

Issue Notice to all the industries particularly the petrochemical, distilleries and other major industries which are polluting this drain which ultimately joins river Ganga. The Pollution Control Board shall issue Notice to

Item Nos. 18 to 21 January 25, 2017

them to be present before the Tribunal on 6th February, 2017 and it shall be the responsibility of the PCB to ensure their presence before the Tribunal.

Bhagad river which because of heavy industrial pollutants has become practically a drain also needs the directions by the Tribunal in relation to taking up antipollution measures to ensure that there is no pollution in river Ganga. However, this river does not join river Ganga as it is stagnant. This observation is not disputed by any of the stakeholders before us, but it is pointed out that it was not meeting river Ganga at the time of inspection, however, whenever there is heavy flow, the effluent would meet river Ganga. There is no CETP fixed at this river and that it is receiving industrial pollutants from Gajraula and Bhagrala Industrial Clusters.

Let Notice be issued to the industries association of both these places and all the big industries which are discharging their effluent into this river. The UPPCB shall ensure service upon them before the next date of hearing.

Notice made returnable on 6th February, 2017.

List these matters on 6th February, 2017.

,CI (Swatanter Kumar)
,JM (Dr. Jawad Rahim)
,EM (Bikram Singh Sajwan)
,EM